



# City of Carson

## Report to Mayor and City Council

May 1, 2012  
New Business Consent

**SUBJECT: CONSIDER APPROVAL TO PAY CENTRAL PARKING SYSTEM, INC. ON A MONTH-TO-MONTH BASIS FOR BUILDING SECURITY SERVICES UNTIL A NEW CONTRACT IS APPROVED FOR A VENDOR TO PROVIDE THESE SERVICES**

*Raymond R. Cruz*  
Submitted by Raymond R. Cruz  
Public Services General Manager

*David C. Biggs*  
Approved by David C. Biggs  
City Manager

### **I. SUMMARY**

At the City Council meeting held on February 21, 2012, Council rejected all bids received from the building security services Request For Proposals (RFP) issued November 8, 2011, and directed staff to reissue an RFP for building security services with updated language (Exhibit No. 1). The previous contract for building security services, with Central Parking System, Inc., expired on January 31, 2012. Central Parking agreed to continue to provide building security services on a month-to-month basis while the City underwent another RFP process to select a qualified vendor to provide building security services on a contract basis. Staff requests approval from the City Council to pay Central Parking System, Inc. on a month-to-month basis until the RFP review is completed and Council approves a new contract for building security services.

### **II. RECOMMENDATION**

APPROVE payment to Central Parking System, Inc. for building security services, on a month-to-month basis, until a new contract for this service is approved.

### **III. ALTERNATIVES**

TAKE any other action the City Council deems appropriate.

### **IV. BACKGROUND**

The City of Carson had a three year contract with Central Parking System, Inc. to provide building security services from February 1, 2007 through January 31, 2010. The contract included an option to renew for two additional one-year periods. The second of these additional one-year periods ended on January 31, 2012. In advance of the contract expiration, an RFP was issued November 8, 2011, to identify qualified vendors to provide building security services. Responses were received from 19 firms at the close of the RFP on November 22, 2011. Staff reviewed the responses and determined that more information regarding the pay and benefits provided to the vendors' employees was required to make an appropriate selection. Staff recommended that Council reject all bids

Prepared by: Brent Gesch, Public Safety Administrative Analyst  
TO: Rev03-08-12

Reviewed by:

City Clerk	City Treasurer
Administrative Services	Development Services
Economic Development	Public Services

**Action taken by City Council**

Date\_\_\_\_\_ Action\_\_\_\_\_

**ITEM NO. (9)      CONSIDER APPROVAL TO REJECT ALL BIDS FOR BUILDING SECURITY SERVICES AND REISSUE A REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS FOR BUILDING SECURITY SERVICES (PUBLIC SERVICES)**

RECOMMENDATION for the City Council:

TAKE the following actions:

1.      REJECT all bids received from the building security services RFP issued November 8, 2011.
2.      DIRECT staff to reissue an RFP for building security services with updated language.

ACTION:      Item No. 9 was approved on the New Business Consent Calendar on motion of Dear, seconded by Santarina and unanimously carried by the following vote:

Ayes:            Mayor Dear, Mayor Pro Tem Ruiz-Raber, Council Member Davis-Holmes, Council Member Gipson, and Council Member Santarina  
Noes:            None  
Abstain:        None  
Absent:         None

**EXHIBIT NO. 1**

