# CLASON, CALLER SERVICE OF THE UNLIMITED

# CITY OF CARSON

# PLANNING COMMISSION STAFF REPORT

NEW BUSINESS CONSENT:	October 25, 2011			
SUBJECT:	Design Overlay Review No. 1434-11			
APPLICANT:	True Value Hardware			
	Attn.: Ron Ursich 20840 Leapwood Ave. Carson, CA 90746			
REQUEST:	Approve a design overlay review for painting ar American flag mural on the south elevation of the True Value Hardware building			
PROPERTY INVOLVED:	20840 Leapwood Avenue			
	COMMISSION ACTION			
Concurred with staff				
Did not concur with staff				
Other				

# **COMMISSIONERS' VOTE**

AYE	NO		AYE	NO	
		Chairman Faletogo			Saenz
		Vice-Chair Gordon		THE PARTY OF THE P	Schaefer
		Brimmer			Verrett
		Diaz			Williams
	T1870 Med 2003 Section 1	Goolsby			

### 1. Introduction

The applicant is proposing to paint an American flag mural on the southeast elevation of the building. According to the applicant the flag is intended to communicate to the public that American made products are sold on the premises. In an effort to renovate the entire site, the applicant has repainted the building, plans to renovate the exterior of the building and the parking lot landscaping, and has filed for a façade improvement application requesting city financial assistance for a new monument sign. In addition, the applicant has removed the illegal free standing banner flags.

## II. Background and Analysis

The Planning Commission has not established any specific requirements for the review and approval of private murals. While there are several unauthorized murals within the city, there are only two locations that have received any formal review. The mural located at 22029 S. Figueroa Street was provided for the primary business on the property, A-1 Roofing, and is oriented to the Harbor Freeway. This mural was approved by the Planning Commission. The publically sponsored mural located on Main Street was approved by the City Council.

Staff requests that the Planning Commission consider the request for the proposed mural and determine what process, procedures and standards should be applied to this and future requests. Staff has conducted a preliminary review of other cities and has found that the standards vary. Some communities have adopted specific standards within their municipal codes to assure the type, quality and location of murals and acceptable to the design standards of the community.

Staff believes that the proposed mural is generally acceptable and appropriate in size in relation to the building and would enhance the large south elevation of the building. If the Planning Commission is favorable to the request, staff will bring the item back to the Planning Commission with appropriate conditions of approval. The Commission should consider if this request should be subject to a public hearing in order to advise neighboring property owners and businesses of the proposed mural.

### III. Environmental Review

Based upon staff review of the project, the proposed mural will not have a significant effect on the environment since the project site is an existing building with no intensification of the use or structure. Thus, the project is found to be Categorically Exempt, pursuant to California Environmental Quality Act Guidelines, Article 19, Section 15301 – Existing Facilities.

### IV. Recommendation

That the Planning Commission:

- REVIEW and CONSIDER the process, procedures and standards for the review and approval of private murals.
- PROVIDE DIRECTION to staff and the applicant.

VI. **Exhibits** 

<ol> <li>Proposed I</li> </ol>	Mural
--------------------------------	-------

Saied Naaseh, Senior Planner

Reviewed and Approved by:

Sheri Repp Loadsman, Planning Officer