

**CARSON REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
RESPONSES TO WRITTEN OBJECTIONS**

INTRODUCTION

On September 7, 2010, the Carson City Council ("City Council") and the Carson Redevelopment Agency ("Agency") held a joint public hearing on the proposed 2010 Amendment to the Redevelopment Plans for Project Area No. 1, Merged and Amended Project Area, and Project Area No. 4 ("Existing Project Areas"). The purpose of the amendment is to merge (for financial reasons) the Existing Project Areas, reestablish and extend eminent domain authority for a period of twelve additional years over non-residentially zoned property or where no person or persons reside, and add one project to the approved projects list for Project Area No. 1 ("2010 Amendment").

The California Community Redevelopment Law (Health and Safety Code Section 33000, et seq., ("CRL") requires that before considering an amendment to a redevelopment plan, the legislative body shall evaluate all evidence and testimony, both for and against the adoption of the amendment, and make written findings in response to each written objection of an affected property owner or taxing entity. Further, the legislative body is to respond in writing to the written objections received before or at the noticed public hearing and that these responses shall describe the disposition of the issues raised and address in detail the reasons for not accepting specified objections and suggestions.

This document is the written response of the City Council to the written objections received before or at the joint public hearing.

WRITTEN OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES

Three written objections were filed at or before the joint public hearing, as well as one petition opposing the 2010 Amendment. These include the following:

1. Letter dated August 9, 2010, from Edward C. Greenhood, of Granite Bay, California. Mr. Greenhood's letter states concern that the proposed reestablishment and extension of eminent domain could decrease the value of his property located at 314 West 168th Street, Carson, California. This letter was received prior to the joint public hearing.
2. Letter dated August 16, 2010, from Frank J. Rhodes, of Marietta, Georgia. Mr. Rhodes' letter states he is opposed to the amendment. This letter was received prior to the joint public hearing.
3. Letter dated, September 7, 2010, from Gilbert Dodson, General Manager/Owner, Corridor Recycling. Mr. Dodson's letter requests the Agency not extend eminent domain authority. This letter was received at the joint public hearing.
4. Petition, not dated, from the residents of Park Avalon. The petition is labeled with a header that expresses opposition to the 2010 Amendment with no specific reasons. The petition was received at the joint public hearing.

This document addresses each of these written correspondences separately.

